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1. Purpose

The purpose of this document is to provide the examiner with considerations to address when dealing with the review of large amounts of data and/or numerous devices.

With the exponential growth of storage media volume, in many situations it has become impractical if not impossible to conduct a forensic review without focusing on a subset of the data or devices submitted for analysis. This is not to say that a selective review is recommended or acceptable in all circumstances; however, in consideration of the issues noted below, an examiner must wisely apportion their resources to review a selection of the data where the pertinent artifacts are most likely to be found.

2. Scope

This document is targeted toward digital forensic examiners and provides considerations for narrowing the scope of an examination. The goal of a focused examination is to maximize efficiency and utilization of resources, including personnel, time, and equipment.

3. Limitations

It should be understood that this document and the considerations contained herein may not apply in some circumstances. In all cases, examiners are encouraged to consult with the requestor and/or competent legal authority having jurisdiction in their applicable venue.

4. Considerations

The following list of considerations is not intended to be all-inclusive, but should serve as a guide in circumstances where the examiner is considering the targeted collection and/or examination of a subset of all data related to the investigation.

- Training and experience
- Specifics of the investigation
- Time and resources available to conduct the examination
- Legal restrictions / scope limitations
- Burden of proof (for legal cases)
- Volume of media under consideration for review
- Technical limitations
- Logistical constraints (e.g., limited bandwidth, cloud computing, and business continuity)
- Financial restrictions
- Ownership (custodian) of devices

Triage is an ongoing process that may require multiple iterations and refinements in the course of an individual investigation.
5. **Artifacts**

Given the above considerations relating to the case at-hand, it is acceptable for an examiner to determine which classes or categories of artifacts are appropriate for examination.

For example; an examiner conducting an analysis related to an allegation of harassment via email, may justifiably choose to limit their analysis to artifacts related to email communications.

Additionally, in some cases it may be proper for an examiner to limit their review to specific areas of a device accessible only to a certain user or users (e.g., a given user’s profile directory) based on the specifics of the requested examination.

6. **Reference Sites and Publications**

   Available: [https://www.swgde.org/documents/Current%20Documents](https://www.swgde.org/documents/Current%20Documents)

2. NIST Special Publication 800-101 Revision 1: Guidelines on Mobile Device Forensics. Section: On-Site Triage Processing  

3. Computer Forensics Case Assessment and Triage by Harry Parsonage.  
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